Approved For Release 2000/05/24 CIA-RDF75-00001R0001000

CPYRGHT

THOUGHTS ON THE DECEASE OF MR. DULLES New York
Feb. 14—During the las

years of his life Mr. Allen Dulles was under relentless attack as the symbol of James Bond diplomacy, so gruesomely inappropriate it is held, to the realities of modern politics, to such higher sophistication as makes heroes out of traitors, gods out or Kim Philby and the Rosenbergs. Ramparts Magazine-it would be heartening to refer to the late Ramparts, except that it will no doubt be succeeded by something worse, the human imagination being capable nowadays of even that-made such reputation as it fleetingly had from exposing that the CIA under Mr. Dulles had done such outrageous things as sub sidize Encounter Magazine in London the National Students Association in the United States, and a training program a a Middlewestern university for area spe cialists headed for service in the CIA



For all of this obloquy for Mr Dulles. I do be lieve that he's have been bette treated in his lat years by some of the press if it had transpired that he had been in collusion with the Communists, in pursuit of détented

· All of this left

Mr. Dulles on the defensive, and the general clamor subdued a criticism o his strategy which sounds faintly perverse but which is naggingly relevant now tha we have, once again; a Republican Ad ministration with critical decisions make concerning such issues as face Mr. Dulles, True, there were those who make the whole right-centered criticism Mr. Dulles awkward by such surreal ism as that Mr. Dulles was a Communis agent (yes, that is among the contribu tions of Mr. Robert Welch). But the sane voices from the Right wondered no that Mr. Dulles was involved in sub sidizing social-political movements an journals around the globe, but that h selected for patronage the left-minder organizations, on the assumption that only people who occupy a position contiguou to that of the people you worry about are likely to be effective. Thus in Ital you deal with the Social Democrats preference to the Christian Democrat Approved For Release 2000/05/

liberal Republicans in America, in order to try to satisfy Democrats. Rockefeller yes, Goldwater no. When time comes to send around subsidies, you send them around to journals of opinion like the New Leader, not to those like the NA-TIONAL REVIEW. I know one person who did service in Mexico for the CIA who happens to believe profoundly that what would most benefit the Mexican people would be a stiff dose of capitalism, so as to free the poor from the sclerosis of years of super-government. He found himself a dozen years ago serving as a paymaster, with a wad of money in an envelope destined for an organization whose principal slogan was "Ni Comunismo, Ni-Capitalismo," that is to say: neither Communism nor Capitalism-leaving: well, leaving what Mexico has got.

A HE REASONING, as I say, is psychologically obvious. The mischief of it lay in the hesitation of Mr. Dulles and his superiors to adopt radical strategy, radical strategy being the defense of conservative institutions and ideas on the altogether reassuring assumption that they would result in radical relief for the wretched of this world. Shortly before he died, Henry Luce thought to formulate a similar position in addressing the National Council of Churches: Look (he intended to say) if you are genuinely concerned with the starving peoples of the world, which you no doubt are, are you not obliged to investigate the apparent corollary between agricultural plenty and the free marketplace, as also agricultural privation and socialism? In other words, could you not, even in the name of Christianity, bring yourself to say a good word for capitalism?

During the Dulles years, conservatives starved to death. Precisely those people who reasoned that you could not deal with the Soviet Union, that the politics of détente were doomed to suffer such deaths as Dubeck suffered last summer. It was a period during which the resoluteness of our anti-Communism was never in doubt, but a period during which the enemy gained vast continents, established themselves in power, developed their hydrogen bombs and missiles, and continued to hold us at missile-point.

It seems mean to observe at this point that Mr. Dulles should have been spared the criticisms of the Left, so as to expose himself to the criticisms of the Right. Let it be recorded, at least, that he sought to maneuver with the Realpolitik of the postwar era, and that although he may have made bad strategic miscalculations, he was made to suffer at the hands of the wrong people. Because even if he did not know how finally to cope with the enemy, he knew at least who the enemy was, and that, these days, is practically a virtuoso performance.

CIA-RDP75-00001R000100040070-6